

# 19 March 2010 [8-10]

# **APPLICATION – ADMINISTRATIVE ASSESSMENT**

A1043	Date Received: 25 January 2010  Date Due for completion of Administrative Assessment: 16 February 2010  Date Administrative Assessment Completed: 12 February 2010		
Applicant: Australasian Bottled Water Institute Inc (ABWI)			Potentially Affected Standard in the Code:
Title: World Health Organization limits (WHO) for packaged water			2.6.2
Brief Description of Application:  To adopt limits for certain chemical substances in packaged water to reflect the current limits in place in international Standards established by the World Health Organization.			
Procedure: General Level 1 Reasons why:		Cost Category (General Procedure):	Estimated start work:
		Up to 500 hours (level 1)	November 2010
A simple assess management re		Reasons why:	
		Incorporation of WHO limits for packaged water into the Code. This should involve no or limited risk assessment and is a matter of minor complexity	

### **DECISION**

Application accepted

Date: 12 February 2010

Has the Applicant claimed Confidential Commercial Information status?

Yes No ✓

Is the Application for a High Level Health Claim?

Yes No√

Has the Applicant sought special consideration e.g. novel food exclusivity, two separate applications which need to be progressed together e.g. a novel food and a related high level health claim.

Yes No ✓

#### Charges

Does FSANZ consider that the application is subject to ECCB?

Yes No ✓

Does the Applicant want to expedite consideration of this Application?

Yes No√

### Application Handbook Requirements

Which Parts within the Part 3 of the Application Handbook apply to this Application:

Sections 3.1 and 3.6.1

Does the Application meet the requirements of the relevant Guideline/s?

Yes✔ No

Is the checklist completed?

Yes√ No

What information is not provided? N/A

Does the Application relate to a matter that may be developed as a food regulatory measure, or that warrants a variation of a food regulatory measure?

Yes√ No

Is the Application so similar to a previous application or proposal for the development or variation of a food regulatory measure that it ought not to be accepted?

Yes No√

Did the Applicant identify the Procedure that, in their view, applies to the consideration of this Application?

Yes No

If yes, indicate which Procedure:

General

**Other Comments or Relevant Matters:** 

## **CONSULTATION & ASSESSMENT TIMEFRAME**

**Consultation Strategy:** 

Proposed length of public consultation period/s:

General Procedure (6 weeks)

Community Involvement Category:

3 (intensive, narrower focus)

**Proposed Timeframe for Assessment:** 

'Early Bird Notification' due: 15 March 2010

General Procedure:

Commence Assessment (clock start)

Completion of Assessment

Public comment

Board to complete Approval

Notification to Ministerial Council

Anticipated gazettal if no review requested

Mid-November 2010

Early-April 2011

Late April-mid-June 2011 Mid-September 2011 Late September 2011

Early Dec 2011